

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/776,693	02/12/2004	Anthony J. O'Lenick JR.	SIL-010	3425	
75	90 01/25/2006		EXAMINER		
A.J. O'Lenick, JR. 2170 Luke Edwards Road			BARTS, SAMUEL A		
Dacula, GA 3			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
,			1621		
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

***		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/776,693	O'LENICK ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	_	Samuel A. Barts	1621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - External after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 N</u>	ovember 2005					
· —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)							
-,-	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	1) Claim(s) 17-32 is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	☐ Claim(s) 17-32 is/are rejected.						
7)	_						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	armior. Note the diagoned emiss	, 1011011 01 1011111 1	0 102.			
-	•	priority under 25 H C C \$ 440(c)	(d) or (f)				
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)l	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	a baya basa saasiyad					
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
	<u> </u>			Stone			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	u III uiis Nauonai	Stage			
* 0	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d				
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachmen	t(a)						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Polynomial	atent Application (PTC	)-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 17-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicant has amended the claims in such a way as not supported by the original specification. In particular, it is clear from the original specification that the process of making the claimed compounds is a transesterification reaction. Defining the R<sup>1</sup> substituent with the oxygen atom, suggests R<sup>1</sup>-O-OH is reacted with a ketone to make the final products. See for example page 6 of the specification wherein the silicone is reacted with R<sup>1</sup>-OH. It is suggested that the specification be amended to indicate that a transesterification reaction is occurring to make the final products.

Please also note that paragraph 043 was not amended in the same way as paragraph 023 even though they present the same formula.

2. The amendment filed 11/05/2005 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:

The suggested amendments result in a different process of production of the claimed compounds. See claim rejection above. The claimed compounds are supported by the original

Art Unit: 1621

specification to be made by a transesterification process. Also, the amendment to the specification was not done for every occurrence the same formula. See above claim rejection.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 17-32 are free of prior art.

## Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel A. Barts whose telephone number is 571-272-2870. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,693 Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Samuel A Barts Primary Examiner Art Unit 1621